

# Urgency \& Opportunity 

Union membership - Trends and observations An analysis of ABS data - Fourth edition 2013

## Urgency and Opportunity - 2013

## Foreword

This is the Fourth edition of Urgency \& Opportunity, the ACTU's annual analysis of union membership, density and wage premiums.

The ACTU hopes that the report (prepared by Rhiannon Carter, Strategic Research Officer) will continue to assist unions as they plan for the future. By including workforce projection numbers by industry out 5 years, we have sought to focus unions on the way their industries are likely to change in the near term and what that means for density. We have maintained the title of the report since the first edition as its message remains relevant: the urgency to organise is very real and the scale of our task large, but important opportunities to organise exist for all unions.

This year's report (using data collected by the ABS in August 2012) shows little change at a macro level: there was a small overall decrease in union density to $18.2 \%$ (down $0.2 \%$ ). Union density was static in the public sector, and fell very slightly in the private sector.

Behind the headline status quo there is an important story about gender: union density amongst women (18.9\%) is now higher than amongst men (17.5\%). While this happened for the first time ever in 2010, the situation reversed in 2011. This time, the gap appears more significant. Women are now $48.9 \%$ of all union members, up from $36.7 \%$ as recently as 1990.

In the foreword last year, I observed that:
Overall, the data since 2006 reveals a movement which in membership terms is treading water. While the precipitous falls in density that began in the 1990s have been arrested, our growth in membership numbers is only enough to roughly keep pace with employment growth. The result is that overall density has moved within a narrow band at around 18-19\% for some years. Given that total employment growth is predicted to be relatively strong in coming years holding this position will require a significant rise in member numbers.

The data confirms what we instinctively know: genuine growth (where density increases on a sustainable trend basis) will not be achieved under the status quo. Australian unions need to continue to change and adapt to the environment in which we operate. If we do not do so, a serious economic or political shock could see a return to falling density.

While our position, on the raw numbers, has not changed in the interim both the political situation and the economic situation in key industries employing large numbers of union members have changed. There remains an urgent task to focus the resources of the union movement on organising and growth.

In unity,
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## Key Findings

## Union Density

In 2012 there were:

- 10,117,400 employees in the Australian workforce,
- 1,840,400 of these employees were trade union members in their main job, and
- This represents a union density of 18.2\%, a slight decrease from 18.4\% union density recorded in 2011.

Union density has been on the decline since 1990, however in recent years there has been a stabilisation of this decline.

- Union density amongst female employees in 2012 is now higher than union density amongst male employees at $18.9 \%$ compared to $17.5 \%$.
- Union density in the private sector fell to from $13.2 \%$ in 2011 to 13.0\% in 2012.
- Union density in the public sector remained steady at 43.4\% in 2012.
- All states and territories with the exception of Queensland and Western Australian experienced growth in union density from 2011 to 2012.


## Union Premiums

The data shows that in 2012, like in 2011 and in the previous editions of Urgency \& Opportunity that when it comes to pay and important conditions employees who are union members are better off than non-union employees.

- Union members earned on average $\$ 142.85$ more a week than non-union employees. This is a union wage premium of $13.3 \%$. This is a slight decrease from $15.5 \%$ in 2011.
- Part-time workers who were union members experience the largest benefit earning \$233.2 or 47.8\% more than non-union employees.
- The overwhelming majority (90.8\%) of union members have paid leave entitlements compared to $66.0 \%$ of non-union employees.
- Union members were more likely to receive superannuation paid by employers at $97.6 \%$ compared to $88.2 \%$ of non-union employees.


## Projections

Using the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations (DEEWR) employment projection figures the ACTU has made calculations on not only employment growth, but on the union membership growth required to maintain and increase union density.

- Employee numbers are projected to grow by $7.1 \%$ in 2017, taking the size of the workforce to 10.8 million employees in 2017.
- To maintain a steady level of union density through to 2017:
- Union membership needs to grow by 131,600 members; this is a net growth in members of 26,320 per annum.
- From 2011 to 2012 the net growth in union membership was 5,700, another 20,620 is needed in addition to maintain union density.
- To return to the 2002 union density figure of $23.1 \%$ by 2017:
- Union membership needs to grow to 2.5 million, a net membership growth of 666,250 by 2017.
- To achieve this union membership would need to grow by 133,250 per year for the next 5 years.


## Introduction

This is the fourth edition of Urgency \& Opportunity. The purpose of this report is to analyse the published and unpublished Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) data from the Employee Earnings, Benefits and Trade Union Membership (EEBTUM) survey and report. The survey was conducted throughout Australia in August 2012 and is a portion of the sample used for the Labour Force Survey (LFS). The results of EEBTUM were published in May 2013.

This report updates the figures and analysis covered in the previous editions of Urgency \& Opportunity with some changes and additional data including:

- The inclusion of additional work on decomposition of union membership.
- The addition of total workforce demographic information for each industry.
- Industry information on union wage premiums and paid leave entitlements is limited due to the lack of data available from the ABS.

The 2013 analysis also includes selected comparisons to the 2011 and in some cases the 2010 data, as well as the use of time series data consistent with previous editions. The data and tables are available from the ACTU - please see Data Sources section at the end of this report for further details and contact information.

## Methodology

## Data Sources

The main data source for the report is the ABS 6310.0 Employee Earnings, Benefits and Trade Union Members (EEBTUM) survey. This report has been competed by using both the public release 6310.0 - Employee Earnings, Benefits and Trade Union Membership, Australia, August $2012^{1}$ and additional customised data reports from this survey ordered and purchased by the ACTU. Additional and supplementary data sources used were:

- Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations (DEEWR) Employment Projections Report 2013 and data ${ }^{2}$.
- ABS Report: 1292 - Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classifications (ANZSIC) ${ }^{3}$.

The employment projections used throughout this report are ACTU calculations and have used the DEEWR data as the basis of the work.

## Interpretation

There are a number of caveats and relevant points of clarification that should accompany this report, its analysis and interpretation of the available data, these are:

- Sample Size
- 'Employees' and 'Employed persons'
- 'Trade Union member in main job' and 'Trade union member'
- Paid Leave Entitlements
- Industry Employment Projections


## Sample Size

The Employee Earnings, Benefits and Trade Union Membership survey is a segment of the full Labour Force Survey (LFS) sample which places limitations on the data available, more information about the ABS collection methods and sampling can be found at www.abs.gov.au. Due to small sample size detailed trade union membership data was only available in some states with Tasmania and the Northern Territory excluded and the Australian Capital Territory combined with New South Wales. However headline data was available for all states and territories and the totals for Australia include all states and territories.

[^1]The data for individual industries, as with previous editions was only available at the division level of the ANZSIC classification, consisting of the 19 main industry groups. Detailed demographic data for each industry was only available when using the categories of Currently a trade union member, Previously a trade union member and Never been a trade union member. The detailed information on the length of trade union membership and previous membership was only available for selected demographic characteristics.

## 'Employees' and Employed Persons'

The data used in this report as with all the data from EEBTUM refers only to the total workforce of 'employees' as opposed to a workforce of 'employed persons' as used in the ABS 6202.0 - Labour Force survey and publication. The use in EEBTUM of 'employees' excludes self-employed, own account workers and independent contractors ${ }^{4}$. In August 2012 there were

- 11,401,900 'employed persons'
- 10,117,400 'employees'

In this report any reference to total workforce or employees refers only to the EEBTUM data and does not included all 'employed persons'.

## 'Trade union member in main job' and 'Trade union member"

In the data available through the EEBTUM survey and report there are two ways information is collected about trade union membership:

- Employees who were members of a trade union in their main job $(1,840,400)$
- Employees who were members of a trade union $(1,931,679)$

This difference produces two figures for union density across employees, but given the available time series data and also that employees are classified as such due to their main jobs, the figure used for headline union density is the trade union in main job classification, the lesser of the two figures.

The detailed data regarding the length of membership and selected characteristics of employees used in this report uses the figure for total union membership, not just membership in main job as that is how the data is reported by the ABS. The data used for the time series and projections analysis uses the trade union members in main job as this is what time series information is available. Consequently there are differences between figures and these discrepancies are noted throughout the report.

## Paid Leave Entitlements

In the data available for employees with paid leave entitlements, owner managers of incorporated enterprises (OMIEs) are excluded. In 2012 there were 763,300 OMIEs or $7.5 \%$ of all employees up from the 2011 figure. The data for employees with paid leave entitlements is still used in this report and where available it is used in industry analysis. This exclusion along with the caveat that figures were not available for each industry must be taken into consideration when reviewing this report.

## Industry Employment Projections

The data used to estimate the employment projections for each industry is based on DEEWR projection data. The data made available by DEEWR is for 'employed persons' for each industry, however, ACTU calculations have applied these projections to 'employees' from the EEBTUM release. In most industries 'employees' consist of $80 \%$ or more of the total 'employed persons', with the exceptions of Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing, Construction, Administrative and Support Services and Other Services ${ }^{5}$.

[^2]
## Past, Present and Future

## Overview

In August 2012 there were $10,117,400$ employees of which 1,840,400 were trade union members in their main job. This is a union density of $18.2 \%$, a slight decrease from 18.4\% trade union density recorded in 2011. Union density has been decreasing steadily from 1990, when 40.5\% of employees were trade union members. In recent years the decrease has slowed and union density has plateaued to $18 \%$.

This figure Shape of the Australian Workforce is a representation of the total Australian workforce and the relative size of groups that constitute the workforce. The largest group of employees are those aged under 45, working the private sector, this is also the largest group of union membership accounting for nearly a third of all union members.

This report provides an analysis of trade union membership across industries, states and sectors. The aim of this report is to give information about the current state

The Shape of the Australian Workforce
Private Sector Public Sector

Employees 919,954

Members 435,546

Members
341,829

Employees
812,831 of trade union membership and help identify areas of opportunity and priority for the Australian union movement.

## Decomposition

The changes in union membership and density have a range of contributing factors, the below graphs look at the extent to which the fall in union density is due to falls within industries or compositional change in the economy, such as shifts in the employment shares of certain industries.

Focusing on the period 2006 to 2012:

- The ageing of the workforce has added 0.2 percentage points to overall union density. Older workers now represent a large proportion of the workforce, and older workers have a higher propensity to be a union member than younger workers. This compositional change has therefore made overall density better than it otherwise would have been.
- Changes in the share of employment across industries has added 0.4 percentage points to overall density. If the share of the workforce employed in each industry had remained fixed from 2006, current density would be $17.8 \%$ rather than $18.2 \%$. Rises in the employment shares of the health care and social assistance and education and training industries have particularly helped overall density.
- The fall in public sector employment as a share of total employment has detracted 0.5 percentage points from overall density. If the public/private split had remained as it was in 2006, density in 2012 would have been $18.7 \%$.



This data suggests that the union membership situation is even more urgent than the figures portray as the aging population and change in industry structure have added to overall union density.

The Shape of the Australia Workforce figure shows that the section of the workforce that is key to growing the union movement is those aged under 45 years working in the private sector. This decomposition work confirms that relying on older workers and public sector workers masks some of the weakness in union membership growth amongst younger private sector workers.

## Projections



Figure 1 is the time series of employee growth, trade union membership and union density. The figure shows that union density significantly decreased between 1990 and 2007, with density stabilising somewhat since 2007. The figure shows that in raw numbers union membership has remained relatively steady, but has failed to keep place with the overall growth in employment numbers.

The figure also shows projections for employment growth, union membership and union density through to 2017. Using DEEWR employment projection figures, the growth in employees is projected to be $7.1 \%$ by 2017, at an average of $1.38 \%$ per annum. Using these calculations overall employee numbers will increase to 10.8 million in 2017.

There are two projections for union membership and density shown in the graph. The first is the level of membership growth that is required to maintain union density at $18.2 \%$ through to 2017 . A net growth ${ }^{6}$ of 131,600 members by 2017 is needed to keep pace with employment growth, this is approximately 26,320 new union members per year. From 2011 to 2012 the net growth in union membership was 5,700 members.

The second set of union projections looks at what is needed to return to 2002 density levels of $23.1 \%$ by 2017. The net growth in union membership required is 666,250 or 133,250 members per year.

[^3]Figure 1: Employees, Trade Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Time Series and Projections 1990 to 2017


## Union Density

## Sector

The below figure shows the union density for the public and private sectors along with the density for all employees from 1992 to 2012. The graph clearly shows that union density has decreased over the 20 year period, with the decrease slowing from 2007. In 2012 union density has remained steady from 2011 in the public sector at 43.4\%, while it has decreased from $13.2 \%$ to $13.0 \%$ for the private sector and from $18.4 \%$ to $18.2 \%$ amongst all employees.

Figure 2: Union Density by Sector (\%) - 1992 to 2012


## Gender

The gender composition of union membership and density amongst men and women has been shifting in recent years. In 2010 union density amongst female employees overtook density amongst male employees. This was reversed in 2011, however in 2012 union density amongst female employees once again increased above male density levels.

In raw numbers however there are still more male union members than female union members with 939,800 male union members and 900,600 female union members. This is a split of $51.1 \%$ male to $48.9 \%$ female, this is most even union membership has ever been and part of a long term trend which has seen men go from $63.3 \%$ of union membership in 1990 to 51.1\% in 2012.

Figure 3 shows that both female and male density has decreased significantly between 1992 and 2007, this trend has continued amongst male employees, however it has not for female employees. In 2012 female union density was at $18.9 \%$ compared to $18.2 \%$ in 2007.

Figure 3: Union Density by Gender (\%) - 1992 to 2012


## Union Premiums

Union membership has historically delivered a range of beneficial conditions to members, an example of this is a union wage premium. This continues in 2012, with union members earning more than their non-union peers. For all union employees the average weekly earnings was $\$ 1213.9$ compared to $\$ 1071$ for non-union employees. This is a difference of $\$ 142.85$ a week or $13.3 \%$ union wage premium.

The union wage premium was present for both full time and part time workers. Full time employees who were union members earned on average $\$ 1378.6$, while full time non-union employees earned on average $\$ 1354.9$ a difference of $\$ 23.66$ a week or $1.7 \%$. Part time employees who were union members benefitted from a larger wage premium earning on average $\$ 690.2$ week compared to $\$ 466.8$ a week a difference of $\$ 223.3$ or $47.8 \%$.

For some industries and occupations the union premium was even more apparent. Those working in the Arts and Recreations Services industry have the highest union wage premium at $45.5 \%$, while Community and Personal Service Workers were the occupation with the largest union wage premium with union members earning on average $76.0 \%$ more than their non-union peers.

The union wage premium is different for men and women. Female employees who are union members are paid significantly more than their non-union peers at $\$ 209.2$ a week extra on average or $25.4 \%$. Male employees who are union members also benefit from a premium of $\$ 97.2$ a week or $7.5 \%$ when compared to their non-union counterparts.

Aside from a wage premium, there are also other advantages of union membership. The overwhelming majority (90.8\%) of union members have paid leave entitlements, compared to only $66.0 \%$ of non-union employees and almost all employees who were trade union members received superannuation paid by employers, at $97.6 \%$ compared to $88.2 \%$ of non-union employees.

## Sector

## All Sectors

In 2012 the workforce increased to a total of 10.12 million employees, up from 9.99 million in 2011 and 9.8 million in 2010. Over two thirds (67.6\%) of these employees had never been a member of a trade union, this is an increase from 2011 levels where $66.0 \%$ of employees had never been a member of a union. Over one in ten (13.3\%) of employees had previously been a member of a trade union, while $19.1 \%$ of employees were current trade union members, the same level as in $2010^{7}$.

The below figure shows union membership in the public and private sectors and also for all employees, it shows there are key differences between the patterns of union membership between the two sectors. In the public sector $44.6 \%$ of employees are union members, compared to $13.8 \%$ in the private sector. The public sector also had a higher proportion of former union members in 2012 at $14.6 \%$ compared to $12.9 \%$ for the private sector.

These figures suggest that awareness of and participation in unions is and has been more concentrated in the public sector. Further strengthening the point that private sector workers present an urgent opportunity for the union movement to grow and reach employees that have not has any previous union involvement.

Figure 4: Union Membership Status by Sector (\%) - 2012


The next figure shows the union membership status of all employees. When looking at union membership the largest membership group is those who have been a member for 10 or more years at $9.1 \%$ of all employees. The same length of time is also the most common length since former members left the union movement at $7.7 \%$. Encouragingly $2.0 \%$ of all employees joined a union in the past year, while only $0.6 \%$ of employees left a union in the past year.

[^4]Figure 5: All Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) - 2012


The figure below shows the demographic profile of the union membership groups. Employees who have previously been union members were the group most likely to be male at $57.8 \%$, born in Australia ( $77.9 \%$ ) and aged over 44 years at $53.7 \%$. Employees who had never been a member of a union were more likely to be younger aged under 34 years (49.6\%), more likely to be born overseas at $30.7 \%$ and be born in non-English speaking countries and they were also more likely to be working on a part time basis at $32.2 \%$.

Union members of all the groups are the most evenly spread along gender lines at $51.5 \%$ male and $48.5 \%$ female. Union members were concentrated between the ages of 25 and 54 at $69.8 \%$, overwhelming union members were born in Australia and of those who were born overseas at $24.1 \%$, they were more likely to be born in a non-English speaking country. Finally, union members out of the all the groups were mostly likely to be employed on a full-time basis at 75.7\%.

Figure 6: All Employees Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


## Private Sector

In 2012 the private sector totalled 8.4 million employees, an increase from 8.3 million in 2011. The private sector constitutes $82.8 \%$ of all employees in the workforce a slight decrease from $83.0 \%$ in 2011 and $83.6 \%$ in 2010 . Of the 8.4 million employees 1.08 million, or $13.0 \%$ were union members a slight decrease from 1.09 million or $13.2 \%$ in 2011.

The level of union density in the private sector has decreased by more than half in the past 20 years, this can primarily be attributed to the failure of union membership to keep pace with workforce growth, as union membership has decreased by 272,600 over the past 20 years while the workforce has grown by $3,757,500$.

Figure 7: Private Sector Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - 1992 to 2012


Figure 8 shows the union membership status of private sector employees. These figures differ from the time series and overall density figures shown above due to different datasets being used for the analysis ${ }^{8}$.

Figure 8: Private Sector Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) - 2012


[^5]The majority of private sector employees have never been a member of a union at $73.2 \%$, this is an increase from $71.4 \%$ in 2011. An additional $13.0 \%$ of employees had previously been a member of a union and $13.7 \%$ of employees were current union members.

Close to $6.0 \%$ of private sector employees have been a member of union for more than 10 years, while $1.7 \%$ or 140,152 of employees had joined a union in the previous year. Of those employees who had previously been a member of a union it was likely that this membership was more than 10 years ago, with $7.8 \%$ of employees in the sector having been in a union 10 years or more prior.

The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics, highlighting the differences in demography between union members, previous union members and those who have never joined a union, the figure also shows the profile for all private sector employees, overlayed.

Figure 9: Private Sector Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics - 2012 (\%)


Those employees who have never been a union member are more likely than other groups to be female (45.8\%), aged under 35 years at $50.5 \%$, to be born overseas ( $11.2 \%$ ) and be working part time at $32.4 \%$. Employees who had previously been a union member also have a distinct profile, they are the most male dominated group at $55.8 \%$, are more likely to be aged over 45 years ( $46.2 \%$ ) and be born in Australia at $77.7 \%$.

Union membership in the private sector is male dominated, more so than the overall private sector workforce, at $59.3 \%$ male and $40.7 \%$ female compared to $55.8 \%$ male and $44.2 \%$ female for the private sector as a whole.. The majority ( $68.4 \%$ ) of union members were aged between 25 and 54 years. The majority ( $73.9 \%$ ) of union members were born in Australia, of those who were born overseas $41.8 \%$ were born in other than main English-speaking countries. Of all the groups and compared with the total private sector workforce union members were more likely to be working on a full time basis at $74.6 \%$ of members.

## Public Sector

The public sector in 2012 totalled 1.74 million employees, significantly smaller than the private sector and a slight increase on the 1.69 million employees in 2011. The public sector accounts for $17.2 \%$ of all employees in the workforce, an increase from $17.0 \%$ in 2011. Of these employees 755,800 are union members at a union density of 43.4\% this remained steady from 2011.

Like in the private sector union density has decreased markedly, by just over a third, in the public sector since 1992. The difference between the public sector and the private sector is that the public sector has not experienced the same employment growth since 1992, but union membership has experience a greater drop off in numbers.

Figure 10: Public Sector Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - 19922012

$\longrightarrow$ Members Employees Union Density

The below figure shows the detailed breakdown of public sector employees union membership status, as with the private sector the differences between these figures and the headline data, due to different data collection parameters.

Figure 11: Public Sector Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) - 2012


In contrast to the private sector, in the public sector under half of employees have never been a union member at $40.7 \%$. A further $14.6 \%$ of employees had previously been a member of a union, while more than 2 in 5 (44.6\%) employees were currently trade union members.

For those employees who were trade union members, the most common length of time being a member was more than 10 years, with a quarter $24.5 \%$ of all public sector employees having been union members for more than 10 years. Employees that had become union members in the previous year accounted for $3.4 \%$ of the total workforce.

As with the private sector, employees who had previously been union members were most likely to have been members 10 years ago or more. Very few employees (1.7\%) had left union membership in the previous year.

The below figure shows the profile of each of the membership status groups compared with the profile of all employees in the sector. In contrast to the private sector the public sector is female dominated with $60.1 \%$ of employees being women.

Figure 12: Public Sector Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


Employees who have never been a union member were most likely to be women at $61.7 \%$ female and $38.3 \%$ male. This group also had the youngest age profile with $41.9 \%$ aged under 35 years. Employees who had never been union members were the most likely to have been born overseas at $27.5 \%$, they were also the most likely to work on a part time basis (30.6\%).

In contrast employees who had previously been members of a trade union had the highest proportion of men at $44.6 \%$ male and $55.4 \%$ female. Over a quarter ( $27.3 \%$ ) of previous union members were aged 55 and over, making this the oldest of the three groups.

Union members in the public sector are more likely to be women at $60.1 \%$ female and $39.9 \%$ male. The majority ( $57.0 \%$ ) are aged between 35 and 54 years of age and $21.3 \%$ were born overseas. Union members overwhelmingly worked on a full time basis at $77.4 \%$ compared to $22.6 \%$ part time. This is the largest proportion of full time workers out of the three groups.

## States

## All States

The below figure shows the level of union density in each state and territory for the past five years, the results reflect the trend nationally for union density to no longer be decreasing at a significant rate in recent years instead stabilising within a small range.

Tasmania is the state with the highest level of union density at $25.7 \%$, Western Australia has the lowest level of union density at $14.3 \%$. Victoria is the state with the most stable levels of union density with a standard deviation of $0.4 \%$ over the five years. The Northern Territory has been the most volatile over the 5 years with a standard deviation of $1.5 \%$. All states either increased in density or remained steady with the exception of Queensland ( $-0.7 \%$ ) and Western Australia (-1.9\%) in 2012.

Figure 13: Union Density by State (\%) - 2008 to 2012


The next figure shows union membership status by state for $2012^{9}$. Of the states where this data is available South Australia has the largest proportion of union members at $20.5 \%$ and also the largest proportion of employees who have previously been union members at $25.6 \%$. Western Australia has the largest proportion of employees who have never been a union member at 69.8\%.

Figure 14: Union Membership Status by State (\%) - 2012


[^6]
## New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory

In 2012 there were 3.37 million employees in NSW and the ACT, of these employees 633,300 are union members, at a density of $18.8 \%$, slightly higher than the national figure. There was a slight increase in both union members and density from 2011 to 2012 up from 617,000 and 18.5\% respectively. This result reflects a trend towards the stabilisation of union membership in recent years, however it does not start to reverse the halving of union density from 1992 to 2012.

Figure 15: NSW and ACT Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - 1992 to 2012


The below figure shows a detailed breakdown of the membership status of employees in NSW and the ACT. Over two thirds ( $68.2 \%$ ) of employees have never been a member of a union, over 1 in 10 ( $12.1 \%$ ) employees indicated they has previously been a member of a union. Of those employees who were union members the most common length of time being a member was 10 years or more at $10.4 \%$ of all employees, while $1.7 \%$ of employees had joined a union in the previous year.

Figure 16: NSW and ACT Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) - 2012


For previous union members the most common length since being a member was 10 or more years ago at $7.2 \%$ of all employees and only $0.6 \%$ of employees had ceased being union members in the previous year.

Figure 17 below shows employees in NSW and the ACT by union membership status and selected characteristics. The overall workforce in NSW and the ACT is slightly male dominated at $52.6 \%$ male and $47.4 \%$ female, the majority ( $63.0 \%$ ) are aged under 45 years. Close to a third (30.2\%) were born overseas and while full time work was the main form of employment over a quarter (29.4\%) worked on a part time basis.


Looking at those employees that had never been a member of a union, they were the group that had the most even gender spread between males at $51.7 \%$ and females at $48.3 \%$. This group also had a younger age profile than the overall workforce with 2 in 5 employees aged under 35 years ( $49.3 \%$ ). Finally employees who had never been members of a union were the most likely to have been born overseas at $32.9 \%$ and also to be working on a part time basis (31.7\%), a pattern which is similar to the national figures.

Those employees who had previously been union members were the most male dominated group at $57.1 \%$ male and $42.9 \%$ female. They were also the oldest group, with $28.3 \%$ aged over 55 years. This group of employees were also the most likely to have been born in Australia at 76.8\%.

Union members in NSW and the ACT are $52.7 \%$ male and $47.3 \%$ female, over half ( $52.4 \%$ ) were aged between 35 and 54 , however a quarter are aged under 35 , challenging the assumption of an older age profile for union members. While the majority (74.9\%) were born in Australia, $25.1 \%$ were born overseas reflecting the makeup of the workforce as a whole. Finally union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $77.8 \%$.

## Victoria

In 2012 for the third year in a row the workforce in Victoria remained steady at 2.5 million employees in 2012, this represents close to a quarter (27.4\%) of total employees. In 2012 the number of union members was 474,200 an increase from 468,300 in 2011, this is a union density of $18.7 \%$ in 2012 an increase from the 2011 and 2010 figures. Figure 18 shows the number of employees, union members and union density in Victoria from 1992 to 2012.

Victoria mirrors the overall national trend of decreasing union density between 1992 and 2012, with a slowing of the decrease from 2007. The raw numbers of union members have remained relatively stable for the past five years, and in 2011 and 2012 experiencing growth.

Figure 18: Victoria Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - 1992 to 2012


The below figure is a detailed breakdown of the union membership status of employees in Victoria. Over two thirds (67.9\%) of employees in Victoria have never been a union member, the differences between these figures and the headline data are due to different data collection parameters.

Figure 19: Victoria Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) - 2012


In 2012, $2.2 \%$ or 56,001 employees indicated they had joined a union in the past year, a further $8.9 \%$ of employees had been union members for 10 years or more. Of the employees who said they had previously been union members only $0.7 \%$ of employees had ceased being union members in the previous year.

Figure 20 shows employees in Victoria by union membership status and by selected characteristics. The total Victorian workforce is slightly male dominated with $52.5 \%$ men and $47.5 \%$ female, the largest single age group is 25 to 34 years of age at $24.5 \%$ of all employees. The majority ( $70.6 \%$ ) of employees were born in Australia while $69.7 \%$ worked on a full time basis and $30.3 \%$ on a part time basis.

Figure 20: Víctoria Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


Employees who have never been union members had the most even gender split with $51.4 \%$ male and $48.6 \%$ female. This group of employees were the most likely of the three groups to be younger with nearly half (49.0\%) aged under 35 years. They were also the most likely to be born overseas at $32.6 \%$ and were the most likely to be working on a part time basis at $32.4 \%$.

Those employees who had previously been union members had a distinct demographic profile, they were more likely to be men at $56.2 \%$ male and $43.8 \%$ female. They were also more likely to be older with $51.5 \%$ aged over 45 years of age, this was also the group of employees most likely to be born in Australia at 79.7\%.

Union members were the mostly likely to be working on a full time basis at $77.1 \%$ of all members, the group was male dominated at $54.2 \%$ male and $45.8 \%$ female. Half ( $50.5 \%$ ) of members were aged between 35 and 54 years of age, however close to 1 in 11 ( $8.7 \%$ ) of union members in Victoria were aged under 25. The majority (75.8\%) of union members were born in Australia, with $24.1 \%$ born overseas.

## Queensland

The workforce in Queensland in 2012 increased slightly from 2 million in 2011 to 2.1 million, which represents $10.7 \%$ of all employees nationally. Union members numbered 361,800 , a decrease from 372,900 in 2011, this caused a decrease in union density from $18.3 \%$ in 2011 to $17.6 \%$ in 2012. Figure 21 shows union density, employee numbers and union members from 1992 to 2012.

Figure 21: Queensland Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - 1992 to 2012


The below figure is a detailed breakdown of the union membership status of all employees in Queensland. Over two thirds ( $68.0 \%$ ) of employees had never been union members, $13.5 \%$ had previously been a member of a union and $18.5 \%{ }^{10}$ were currently union members.

Figure 22: Queensland Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) - 2012


[^7]In 2012, 1.8\% of employees indicated they had joined a union in the past year, a further $8.7 \%$ of employees had been union members for 10 years or more. Of the employees who said they had previously been union members only $0.7 \%$ of employees had ceased being union members in the previous year.

Figure 23 below shows employees in Queensland by union membership status and selected characteristics. The workforce in Queensland is male dominated at $54.0 \%$ male and $46.0 \%$ female, it is also dominated by employees who are in full time work at $73.1 \%$ compared to $26.9 \%$ for part time work. Close to half ( $45.3 \%$ ) of employees are aged between 25 and 44 years of age and a majority were born in Australia at 76.4\%.

Figure 23: Queensland Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


Of those employees who have never been a member of a union the gender split mirrored the overall workforce at $53.9 \%$ male and $46.1 \%$ female. This group of employees had the youngest age profile of the three groups with $50.5 \%$ aged under 35 years of age. Employees who had never been union members were the most likely to have been born overseas and be working on a part time basis at $25.1 \%$ and $28.8 \%$ respectively.

Employees who had previously been union members were the most male dominated group with $60.9 \%$ male and $39.1 \%$ female employees. Previous union members had the oldest age profile with $59.1 \%$ aged over 45 years, this group was also the most likely to have been born in Australian at 80.4\% of employees in this category.

Union members had the most even gender split with slightly more female members at $50.7 \%$ compared to $49.3 \%$ male members. Employees who were union members were concentrated between the ages of 35 and 54 years at $55.6 \%$ of all members, however a quarter (25.3\%) were also under the age of 35 . Union members were the group most likely to be working on a full time basis at $77.6 \%$ of all employees who were members.

## South Australia

In 2012 the workforce in South Australia totalled 697,300 employees, a decrease from 705,400 in 2011 representing $6.9 \%$ of the total workforce. Of these employees 138,400 were union members at a density level of $19.8 \%$, this was an increase from the 2011 figures of 135,100 members or $19.2 \%$ union density.

The below figure shows the number of employees, union members and union density from 1992 through to 2012 in South Australia. Since 2010 the level of union density has stabilised, as has the number of union members, this is following a number years of significant decreases in membership numbers and union density.

Figure 24: South Australia Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - 1992 to 2012


The next figure is a detailed breakdown of the union membership status of all employees in South Australia. The largest group consists of employees who have never been a union member at close to two thirds (63.3\%) of all employees. Union members were $20.6 \%$ and employees who had previously been union members accounted for $16.2 \%$ of the workforce ${ }^{11}$.

Figure 25: South Australia Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) - 2012


[^8]The most common length of time that employees had been a member of a trade union was 10 or more years at close to 1 in 10 or $9.4 \%$ employees. What is encouraging for the growth of the union movement is that 1 in 17 (5.9\%) employees have joined a union in the past two years.

For employees who had previously been members of a union the most common time since they had been a member was 10 or more years, only $0.6 \%$ of the workforce was employees who had ceased membership of a union in the previous year.

The below figure shows employees in South Australia by union membership and by selected characteristics compared to the profile of the total workforce. Employees in South Australia are close to evenly split between male and female at $51.3 \%$ compared to $48.7 \%$ respectively. The most common age group was 45 to 54 years at $22.6 \%$ with the majority ( $66.1 \%$ ) of employees aged between 25 and 54 years.

Figure 26: South Australia Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


Employees who had never been members of a union were $51.0 \%$ male and $49.0 \%$ female, concentrated under the age of 35 at $48.8 \%$ of all employees in this classification and the most likely to be working on a part time basis at over a third (34.0\%) of these employees.

Those employees who had previously been union members were the most male dominated at $56.7 \%$ male compared to $43.3 \%$ female. These employees had an older age profile with over a third ( $36.7 \%$ ) aged between 45 to 54 years and an additional $23.4 \%$ aged 55 years and over. Former union members were also the group most likely to have been born in Australia at $83.5 \%$ of employees in this classification.

In South Australia there more female union members at $52.2 \%$ compared to $47.8 \%$ male members. Union members were concentrated between the age 35 to 54 years at $51.2 \%$ with an additional $29.8 \%$ aged under 35 years, union members were the most likely to have been born overseas at $21.5 \%$ and the most likely to be working on a full time basis at 70.7\%.

## Western Australia

Western Australia in 2012 had 1.2 million employees an increase from 1.1 million employees in 2011 representing $11.3 \%$ of the total workforce. Of these employees 163,900 were union members a union density of $14.3 \%$, this is a drop from 174,100 employees at a union density of $16.2 \%$ in 2011 . This is in contrast to other states and the national figures which show a steadying of union density figures.

In Western Australia union density has experience more volatility in recent years than the figure nationally, with the 2012 union density level returning to the low point recorded in 2008. This volatility is a combination of pronounced periods of steeper employment growth in Western Australia and decreases in union membership numbers.

Figure 27: Western Australia Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) 1992 to 2012


The next figure show a detailed breakdown of the union membership status of Western Australian employees, the majority of whom have never been union members at $69.8 \%$. However $15.3 \%$ are union members and the remaining $14.8 \%$ have previously been union members ${ }^{12}$.

Figure 28: Western Australia Employees Union Membership Status (\%) - 2012


[^9]The largest group of union members were those who had been members for 10 or more years at $6.4 \%$ of all employees, close to 1 in 5 (4.7\%) of employees had joined a union in the previous 2 years. The largest group of former union members were those employees who had previously been member 10 or more years ago at $9.3 \%$. Only $0.3 \%$ of all employees had ceased being a member in the previous year.

The below figure shows employees in Western Australia by union membership and selected characteristics compared to the all employees in the state. Employees in Western Australia were more likely to be men at $55.2 \%$ compared to $44.8 \%$ female. The most common age groups were 25 to 34,35 to 44 and 45 to 54 at $23.7 \%, 21.4 \%$ and $20.8 \%$ respectively. Over a third of employees (36.9\%) were born overseas and the overwhelming majority (73.0\%) work on a full time basis.

Figure 29: Western Australia Union Membership Status and Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


Employees who had never been a union member were also male dominated at $55.7 \%$ male and $44.3 \%$ female, half ( $50.7 \%$ ) were aged under 35 years. Of all the groups employees who had never been union members were the most likely to have born overseas at over a third (37.9\%), this group was also the most likely to be working on a part time basis at 28.4\%.

Those employees who had previously been union members had the largest proportion of men at $58.8 \%$ compared to $41.2 \%$ women. This group had the oldest age profile of the three classifications with $57.8 \%$ aged over 45 years, they were also the most likely to have been born in Australia at 65.9\%.

Union members in Western Australia were more likely to be women than men at 50.7\% female compared to 49.3\% male. Over a quarter ( $28.9 \%$ ) of union members were aged between 45 and 54 years an additional $26.4 \%$ were aged under 35 years. Of employees who were union members a third ( $35.0 \%$ ) were born overseas and union members were the group most likely to be working on a full time basis at 76.6\%.

## Industries

## All Industries

The union membership status of all employees is shown by industry in the below figure. The industries with the largest proportion of union members are Education and Training at 39.1\%, Electricity, Gas, Water and Waste Services at 35.0\% and Public Administration and Safety at 35.0\%.

Figure 30: All Employees Union Membership Status by Industry (\%) - 2012


The industries with the largest number of union members were Heath Care and Social Assistance with 397,300 members; Education and Training at 321,200 members and Public Administration and Safety with 233,300 members. The largest industries by overall employees were Health Care and Social Assistance with 1.29 million employees; Retail Trade with 1.12 million employees and Manufacturing 898,400 . These top three industries remain unchanged from 2011.

The industries predicted to experience the largest employment growth from 2012 through to 2017 are Health Care and Social Assistance at 13.0\%; Construction at 10.1\%; and Arts and Recreation Services at 10.0\%. The only industry projected to contract over the same time was Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing with DEEWR projecting that employment will reduce by $-4.2 \%$ by 2017.

It is clear from this data and other data in this report that the Health Care and Social Assistance industry is a key growth area for the Australian workforce and should also be a key priority and growth area for the Australian union movement.

The next figure shows union density by industry from 2007 to 2012, it shows that some industries have remained relatively stable while others have experienced volatility. That said, on the whole industries have maintained their positions on the graph relative to other industries, not showing any significant increase or decrease in union density.

Figure 31: Union Density by Industry (\%) - 2007 to 2012


The next figure shows the composition of the workforce by industry. As mentioned above the Health Care and Social Assistance industry is the largest industry by employee number, accounting for $12.8 \%$ of all employees up from $2.4 \%$ in 2011. Retail Trade is the second largest at $11.1 \%$ of all employees down from $11.4 \%$ in 2011 and Manufacturing is the third largest at $8.9 \%$ up slightly from $8.8 \%$ in 2011 . The smallest industry is Electricity, Gas, Water and Waste Services at 1.4\% up from 1.3\% in 2011.

Figure 32: Workforce Composition by Industry (\%) - 2012

| $\square$ Health care and social assistance | $\square$ Retail trade |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Manufacturing | $\square$ Education and training |
| $\square$ Professional, scientific and technical services | $\square$ Accommodation and food services |
| $\square$ Construction | $\square$ Public administration and safety |
| $\square$ Transport, postal and warehousing | $\square$ Financial and insurance services |
| $\square$ Wholesale trade | $\square$ Other services |
| $\square$ Administrative and support services | $\square$ Mining |
| $\square$ Information media and telecommunications | $\square$ Agriculture, forestry and fishing |
| $\square$ Arts and recreation services | Rental, hiring and real estate services |



## Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing

In 2012 there were 182,800 employees in the Agriculture Forestry and Fishing industry, which was an increase from 2011 employment levels of 157,100 , and a return to 2010 levels. This industry accounts for $1.8 \%$ of the total workforce, an increase from $1.6 \%$ recorded in 2011. Of these employees $6,400^{13}$ were union members a decrease of 100 from 2011, but still above the 2007 to 2010 figures. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in growing crops, raising animals, producing or harvesting animals or timber and other horticultural activities.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment growth in the industry is predicted to decrease by $-4.2 \%$ from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $0.84 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership could decrease by 270 or 54 people a year and union density would remain steady. This growth rate is below the $0.34 \%$ per annum and $1.4 \%$ per annum predicted in 2011 and 2010 respectively.

Figure 33: Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing Employee, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Times Series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition for all workers. In 2012 the ABS did not make available the paid leave entitlements breakdown for union members for this industry. For the industry as a whole $57.7 \%$ of employees had paid leave entitlements, however the overall figure across all industries for union members is $90.8 \%$ and in 2011 union members in this industry were more likely than non-union members to have access to paid leave entitlements. This suggests that in this industry it is highly likely that there exists a union premium for paid leave entitlements.

The majority (84.2\%) of workers in the industry have never been a member of a union. While 8.7\% of employees had previously been a union member more than 10 years ago, the most common length of time for union membership was for more than ten years. It is important to note that the next figure does not total $100 \%$ or include all the detailed union membership categories due to the non-publishing of this data by the ABS.

[^10]Figure 34: Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) 2012


The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce ${ }^{14}$. The industry is male dominated at $71.7 \%$ male compared to $28.3 \%$ female. The largest age group in the industry is 45 to 54 years at $24.0 \%$ and additional third (33.1\%) are aged under 35 . The overwhelming majority of employees were born in Australia and working on the full time basis at $81.0 \%$ and $77.8 \%$ respectively.

Union members were the most male dominated group at $85.0 \%$ male, the majority of members ( $60.3 \%$ ) were aged between 35 to 54 years. While the majority ( $85.2 \%$ ) of union members were born in Australia, those employees that were previously union members were most likely to have been born in Australia at $88.2 \%$. Employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $79.4 \%$, while previous union members were the most likely to be working on a part-time basis at 32.9\%

Figure 35: Agriculture, Forestry and Fishing Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


[^11]
## Mining

In 2012 there were 267,500 employees in the Mining industry, which was an increase from 2011 employment levels of 221,500 and 2010 levels of 196,400 . This industry accounts for $2.6 \%$ of the total workforce, an increase from $2.2 \%$ recorded in 2010. Of these employees 50,100 were union members, an increase of 6400 from 2011 and continuing the trend to growth from 2008. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in underground or open cut mining, dredging, quarrying and the extraction of mineral solids or liquids.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Mining industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by $4.3 \%$ from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $0.88 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow 2,200 by 2017 or an average of 440 people a year for union density to remain steady. This growth rate is below the 1.5\% per annum predicted in 2011.

Figure 36: Mining Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Times Series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition to workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $94.6 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $85.5 \%$ of non-union employees in the Mining industry.

Close to two thirds (63.3\%) of employees in this industry had never been members of a union, while $17.7 \%$ had previously been union members and $19.1 \%$ were current union members ${ }^{15}$. Only $1.0 \%$ of employees had ceased being a union member in the previous year compared to $2.5 \%$ of employees had become union members within the previous year. In addition $7.9 \%$ of employees had been union members for more than 10 years.

[^12]

The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce ${ }^{16}$. The Mining industry is male dominated with $86.6 \%$ male compared to $13.4 \%$ female. The largest age group in the industry is 25 to 34 years at $31.1 \%$ giving the industry a younger age profile than the overall workforce. The overwhelming majority of employees were born in Australia and working on the full time basis at $78.1 \%$ and $96.7 \%$ respectively.

Union members were the most male dominated group at $98.4 \%$ male, the majority of members ( $52.4 \%$ ) were aged between 25 to 44 years. The majority ( $89.0 \%$ ) of union members were born in Australia, those employees that had never been a union member were the most likely to have been born overseas at $26.1 \%$. Union members were also the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $98.8 \%$, while employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be working on a part-time basis at $3.3 \%$.

Figure 38: Mining Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


[^13]
## Manufacturing

In 2012 there were 898,400 employees in the Manufacturing industry, which was an increase from 2011 employment levels of 881,000 but below the 2010 levels of 916,500 . This industry accounts for $8.6 \%$ of the total workforce, a decrease from $8.8 \%$ recorded in 2011, it is however still the third largest industry. There were 170,000 union members a decrease from 173,000 in 2011. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in the physical or chemical transformation of materials, substances or components into new products.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Manufacturing industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by 1.5\% from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $0.8 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow by 2,400 by 2017 or an average of 480 new members a year for union density to remain steady. This employment growth rate is well above the negative growth of -1.88\% per annum projected in 2011.

Figure 39: Manufacturing Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Time Series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition for all workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $94.2 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $80.6 \%$ of non-union employees in the Manufacturing industry.

The next figure shows that $67.5 \%$ of employees in this industry have never been members of a union, while $12.7 \%$ had previously been members of a union, with $19.8 \%$ currently members of a trade union ${ }^{17}$. Only $0.6 \%$ of employees had ceased being members of a union in the previous year, while $2.0 \%$ of employees had joined a union in the previous year.

[^14]Figure 40: Manufacturing Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) - 2012


The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce. The Manufacturing industry is male dominated at $74.6 \%$ male compared to $25.4 \%$ female. Two thirds ( $68.0 \%$ ) of employees were aged between 25 and 54 years, with an additional $14.2 \%$ aged under 25 years. Over a third ( $35.3 \%$ ) of the industry was born overseas, while the majority were employed on a full time basis $85.5 \%$.

Union members were the most male dominated group at $84.8 \%$ male compared to $15.2 \%$ female, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be women at $20.8 \%$ female. Half ( $49.4 \%$ ) of union members were aged between 45 to 64 years, making union membership profile older than the overall industry profile. Over a third ( $36.2 \%$ ) of union members were born overseas. Union members the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $95.8 \%$ and employees who had never been members were the most likely to be working on a part time basis.

Figure 41: Manufacturing Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


## Electricity, Gas, Water and Waste Services

In 2012 there were 140,300 employees in the Electricity, Gas, Water and Waste Services industry, which was an increase from 2011 employment levels of 134,800 but below the 2010 levels of 145,900. This industry accounts for $1.4 \%$ of the total workforce, an increase from $1.3 \%$ recorded in 2011, however it is still the smallest industry. There were 46,100 union members this is an increase from 43,000 in 2011 and a union density of $32.9 \%$. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in the provision of electricity, gas through mains, water, drainage and sewage services.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Electricity, Gas, Water and Waste Services industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by $2.2 \%$ from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $0.44 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow 1,100 by 2017 or an average of 220 new members a year for union density to remain steady.

Figure 42: Electricity, Gas, Water and Waste Services Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Time Series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition to workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $98.5 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $86.2 \%$ of non-union employees in the Electricity, Gas, Water and Waste Services industry.

The following figure shows the breakdown of the industry by union membership status. Close to half ( $48.8 \%$ ) of employees in this industry have never been members of their union, while $16.2 \%$ had previously been members of a union and $35.1 \%$ were currently union members ${ }^{18}$. $18.2 \%$ of employees had been union members for more than 10 years, with $2.7 \%$ of employees joining a union in the previous year, in contrast only $0.9 \%$ of employees had ceased union membership in the same time period.

[^15]Figure 43: Electricity, Gas, Water and Waste Services Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) - 2012


The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce ${ }^{19}$. The industry is male dominated at $75.8 \%$ male compared to $24.2 \%$ female. The largest age group is between 45 and 54 years at $29.0 \%$, with an additional $12.5 \%$ aged over 55 years. The overwhelming majority of employees were born in Australia and working on a full time basis at $78.9 \%$ and $91.7 \%$ respectively.

Union members were the most male dominated group at $86.4 \%$ male compared to $13.6 \%$ female. A third (34.1\%) of union members were aged between 45 and 54 years, employees who had never been union members were most likely to be younger at $41.3 \%$ of that group. The majority ( $83.6 \%$ ) of union members were born in Australia, those employees that had never been union members were the most likely to have been born overseas at $25.2 \%$. Union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $95.5 \%$, while previous union members were the most likely to be working on a part-time basis at $11.4 \%$.

Figure 44: Electricity, Gas, Water and Waste Services Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


[^16]
## Construction

In 2012 the Construction industry in Australia accounted for 705,100 employees or 7.0\% of the total workforce. This was a decrease from 2011 figures of 743,100 employees in this industry at $7.3 \%$ of the total workforce. Of these employees 112,200 were union members this is a decrease from 116,000 in 2011 and 122,000 in 2010. The union density in the industry in 2012 was $15.9 \%$ a slight increase on $15.8 \%$ in 2011 . This suggests that the decrease in overall workforce numbers was closely reflected in the decrease in union membership. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in the construction of building and other structures, additional, alteration, reconstruction, installation, and maintenance and repairs of buildings and other structures.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Construction industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by $10.1 \%$ from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $2.0 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow 11,600 by 2017 or an average of 2,320 new members a year for union density to remain steady.

Figure 45: Construction Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Time Series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition for all workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $90.6 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $74.3 \%$ of non-union employees in the Construction industry. There is also a wage benefit for union members in this industry with the average weekly pay at $\$ 1542.05$ compared to $\$ 1248.69$ for employees who are not union members, this is a union wage premium of $23.5 \%$.

The following figure shows the breakdown of the industry by union membership status. Two thirds (69.8\%) of employees in this industry had never been members of their union, while $13.0 \%$ had previously been members of a union and $17.2 \%$ were currently union members ${ }^{20}$. Of all employees $6.9 \%$ have been union members for more than ten years, $2.3 \%$ joined a union in the past year and only $0.7 \%$ had ceased union membership in the previous year.

[^17]Figure 46: Construction Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) - 2012


The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce. The Construction industry is male dominated at $87.9 \%$ male compared to $12.1 \%$ female. Close to half, $47.5 \%$ of employees were aged under 35 years, making Construction younger than the overall workforce. One in five (21.8\%) were born overseas and the overwhelming majority (90.9\%) worked on a full time basis.

Union members were the most male dominated group at $98.9 \%$ male compared to $1.1 \%$ female, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be women at $16.0 \%$ female. The most common age group for union members was 25 to 34 years at $27.1 \%$, those employees who had previously been union members were more likely to be older with $51.9 \%$ aged over 45 years. For all groups the proportion of employees born in Australia and overseas was very similar, however in working arrangements union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at 98.4\%.

Figure 47: Construction Employees Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


## Wholesale Trade

In 2012 there were 387,200 employees in the Wholesale Trade industry, which is an increase from the 2011 and 2010 employment levels of 381,700 and 378,900 respectively. This industry accounts for $3.8 \%$ of the total workforce remaining steady from 2011. There were 18,600 union members this is a decrease from 23,900 in 2011 and a union density of $4.8 \%$ down from $6.3 \%$ in 2011. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in the purchase and on selling, the commission-based buying, and the commission-based selling of goods, without significant transformation, to business.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Wholesale Trade industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by $3.7 \%$ from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $0.73 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow by 700 by 2017 or an average of 140 new members a year for union density to remain steady.

## Figure 48: Wholesale Trade Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Time

 Series and Projections 2007 to 2017

Paid leave entitlements are an important condition to workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $87.6 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $84.5 \%$ of non-union employees in the Wholesale Trade industry.

The following figure shows the breakdown of the industry by union membership status. Three quarters (78.6\%) of employees in this industry have never been members of their union, while $16.4 \%$ have previously been members of a union and $5.1 \%$ are currently union members ${ }^{21}$. Of all employees $2.6 \%$ have been union members for more than ten years, $0.5 \%$ joined a union in the past year and only $0.3 \%$ had ceased union membership in the previous year.

[^18]

The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce ${ }^{22}$. The Wholesale Trade industry is male dominated at $64.5 \%$ male compared to $35.5 \%$ female. The majority ( $73.0 \%$ ) of employees are concentrated between 25 and 54 years of age. Close to a third (30.3\%) were born overseas and the overwhelming majority ( $82.6 \%$ ) worked on a full time basis.

Union members were the most male dominated group at $83.0 \%$ male compared to $17.0 \%$ female, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be women at $37.6 \%$ female. The most common age group for union members was 45 to 54 years at $27.3 \%$, closely followed by 25 to 34 years at $26.6 \%$. Those employees who had previously been union members were more likely to be older with $62.2 \%$ aged over 45 years, this group of employees were also the most likely to have been born in Australia at $72.8 \%$. Union members were the most likely to have been born overseas at $42.8 \%$, union members were also the most likely to be working on a full time basis at 96.3\%.

Figure 50: Wholesale Employees Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) 2012


[^19]
## Retail Trade

In 2012 there were 1,121,400 employees in the Retail Trade industry, which is a decrease from 2011 employment levels of $1,140,000$. This industry accounts for $11.1 \%$ of the total workforce a slight decrease from $11.4 \%$ in 2011, however it is still the second largest industry in the workforce. There were 152,300 union members in the Retail Trade industry, an increase from 147,000 in 2011 and a union density of $13.6 \%$ up from $12.9 \%$ in 2011. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in the purchase and on selling, the commission-based buying, and the commission-based selling of goods, to the general public.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Retail Trade industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by $8.9 \%$ from 2012 to 2017 , an average rate of $1.72 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow 13,800 by 2017 or an average of 2,760 new members a year for union density to remain steady.

Figure 51: Retail Trade Employees, Union members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Times Series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition to workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $70.8 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $59.9 \%$ of non-union employees in the Retail Trade industry.

The following figure shows the breakdown of the industry by union membership status. Three quarters (74.1\%) of employees in this industry had never been members of their union, while $11.4 \%$ had previously been members of a union and $14.4 \%$ were currently union members ${ }^{23}$. Of all employees $4.2 \%$ have been union members for more than ten years, $2.2 \%$ joined a union in the past year and only $0.5 \%$ had ceased union membership in the previous year.

[^20]

The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce. The Retail Trade industry is female dominated at $58.0 \%$ female compared to $42.0 \%$ male. A third (34.2\%) of employees were aged under 25 years. The majority (76.8\%) were born in Australia and the split between full time and part time work is quite even at $50.3 \%$ full time and $49.7 \%$ part time.

Union members were the most female dominated group at $65.4 \%$ female compared to $34.6 \%$ male, employees who had previously been union members were the most likely to be male at $51.4 \%$. The most common age group for union members was 15 to 24 years at $31.1 \%$, however those who had never been union members were most likely to be in this age group at $39.0 \%$. Those employees who had previously been union members were the most likely to have been born in Australia at $84.4 \%$, while those who had never been union members were the most likely to have been born overseas at $25.0 \%$. Those who had previously been union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $60.9 \%$, while union members were the most likely to be working on a part time basis at $60.4 \%$.

Figure 53: Retail Trade Employees Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) $-2012$


## Accommodation and Food Services

In 2012 there were 713,600 employees in the Accommodation and Food Services industry, which is a decrease from 2011 employment levels 726,400 . This industry accounts for $7.1 \%$ of the total workforce a slight decrease from $7.3 \%$ in 2011. There were 27,300 union members, a decrease from 35,300 in 2011 and 29,200 in 2010, this is a union density of $3.8 \%$ down from $4.9 \%$ in 2011. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in providing food and beverage services, such as the preparation and serving of meals and the serving of alcoholic beverages for consumption by customers, both on and off-site.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Accommodation and Food Services industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by $8.5 \%$ from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $1.65 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow 2,100 by 2017 or an average of 420 new members a year for union density to remain steady.

Figure: 54: Accommodation and Food Services Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Times Series and Projections 2001 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition to workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $70.7 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $33.9 \%$ of non-union employees in the Accommodation and Food Services industry.

The following figure shows the breakdown of the industry by union membership status. The majority (87.1\%) of employees in this industry have never been members of their union, while $8.0 \%$ have previously been members of a union and $4.8 \%$ are currently union members ${ }^{24}$. Of all employees $1.1 \%$ had been union members for more than ten years, $1.3 \%$ joined a union in the past year and only $0.4 \%$ had ceased union membership in the previous year.

[^21]Figure 55: Accommodation and Food Services Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) 2012


The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce ${ }^{25}$. The Accommodation and Food Services industry is female dominated with $55.2 \%$ female compared to $44.8 \%$ male. Close to half of employees are aged under 25 years at $47.0 \%$. The majority (66.3\%) were born in Australia and the majority work on a part time basis at $60.7 \%$ of all employees in the industry.

Employees who have never been union members were the most female dominated group at $55.9 \%$ female compared to $44.1 \%$ male, employees who had previously been union members were the most likely to be male at $50.2 \%$. The most common age group for union members was 15 to 24 years at $49.1 \%$, in contrast to those who had previously been union members of whom only $7.7 \%$ were aged under 25 years. Those employees who had previously been union members were the most likely to have been born in Australia at $78.1 \%$, while those who had never been union members were the most likely to have been born overseas at $35.3 \%$. Those who had previously been union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $61.3 \%$, while those who had never been union members were the most likely to be working on a part time basis at $63.0 \%$.

Figure 56: Accommodation and Food Services Employees Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


[^22]
## Transport, Postal and Warehousing

In 2012 there were 482,000 employees in the Transport, Postal and Warehousing industry, which is a decrease from 2011 employment levels of 508,100 . This industry accounts for $4.8 \%$ of the total workforce a slight decrease from $5.1 \%$ in 2011. There were 138,700 union members in 2012, a decrease from 163,500 in 2011, this is a union density of $28.8 \%$ down from $32.2 \%$ in 2011. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in providing transportation of passengers and freight by road, rail, water or air, as well as other transportation activities such as postal services, pipeline transport and scenic and sightseeing transport.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Transport, Postal and Warehousing industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by $7.1 \%$ from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $1.38 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow 10,000 by 2017 or an average of 2000 new members a year for union density to remain steady.

Figure 57: Transport, Postal and Warehousing Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Times Series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition for all workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $91.8 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $70.6 \%$ of non-union employees in the Transport, Postal and Warehousing industry.

The following figure shows the breakdown of the industry by union membership status. Half (54.1\%) of employees in this industry have never been members of their union, while $16.1 \%$ have previously been members of a union and $29.8 \%$ are currently union members ${ }^{26}$. Of all employees $15.1 \%$ have been union members for more than ten years, $3.0 \%$ joined a union in the past year and only $0.8 \%$ had ceased union membership in the previous year.

[^23]Figure 58: Transport, Postal and Warehousing Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) 2012


The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce. The Transport, Postal and Warehousing industry is male dominated with $78.3 \%$ male compared to $21.7 \%$ female. Close to half of employees are aged between 35 and 54 years at $48.3 \%$. The majority (69.0\%) were born in Australia and the majority work on a full time basis at $83.1 \%$ of all employees in the industry.

Union members were the most male dominated group at $86.0 \%$ male compared to $14.0 \%$ female, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be female at $26.8 \%$. The most common age group for union members was 45 to 54 years at $30.9 \%$, in contrast to those who had never been union members $39.2 \%$ were aged under 35 years. Those employees who had previously been union members were the most likely to have been born in Australia at $81.2 \%$, while those who had never been union members were the most likely to have been born overseas at $34.3 \%$. Union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $89.0 \%$, while those who had never been union members were the most likely to be working on a part time basis at $19.8 \%$.

Figure 59: Transport, Postal and Warehousing Employees Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


## Information Media and Telecommunications

In 2012 there were 224,600 employees in the Information Media and Telecommunications industry, which is an increase from 2011 employment levels of 198,700 . This industry accounts for $2.2 \%$ of the total workforce a slight increase from $2.0 \%$ in 2011. There were 27,500 union members in 2012, a decrease from 28,600 in 2011, this is a union density of $12.3 \%$ down from $14.4 \%$ in 2011. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in creating, enhancing and storing information products in media that allows for their dissemination; transmitting information products using analogue and digital signals; and providing transmission services and/or operating the infrastructure to enable the transmission and storage of information and information products.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Information Media and Telecommunications industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by $4.2 \%$ from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $0.82 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow 1,300 by 2017 or an average of 260 new members a year for union density to remain steady.

Figure 60: Information Media and Telecommunications Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Time Series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition for all workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $95.5 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $82.9 \%$ of non-union employees in the Information Media and Telecommunications industry.

The following figure shows the breakdown of the industry by union membership status. The majority (73.1\%) of employees in this industry have never been members of their union, while $14.0 \%$ have previously been members of a union and $13.0 \%$ are currently union members ${ }^{27}$. Of all employees $8.5 \%$ have been union members for more than ten years, $1.0 \%$ joined a union in the past year and only $0.4 \%$ had ceased union membership in the previous two years.

[^24]Figure 61: Information Media and Telecommunications Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) - 2012


The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce ${ }^{28}$. The Information Media and Telecommunications industry is slightly male dominated with $56.9 \%$ male compared to $43.1 \%$ female. Over half ( $56.2 \%$ ) of employees are concentrated between 25 and 44 years of age. Close to a third ( $31.5 \%$ ) were born overseas and the overwhelming majority ( $80.4 \%$ ) worked on a full time basis.

Union members were the most male dominated group at $61.1 \%$ male compared to $38.9 \%$ female, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be women at $44.3 \%$ female. The most common age group for union members was 45 to 54 years at $38.5 \%$, making union members the oldest of all the groups, employees who had never been a union members were the youngest group with $50.7 \%$ aged under 35 years. Those employees who had previously been union members were more likely to have been born in Australia at $72.8 \%$, while employees who had never been union members were the most likely to have been born overseas at $24.5 \%$. Union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $88.5 \%$, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be working on a part time basis at $15.4 \%$.

Figure 62: Information Media and Telecommunications Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


[^25]
## Financial and Insurance Services

In 2012 there were 400,100 employees in the Financial and Insurance Services industry, which is a decrease from 2011 employment levels of 404,400. This industry accounts for $4.0 \%$ of the total workforce remaining steady from 2011. There were 41,400 union members in 2012, a decrease from 44,000 in 2011 , this is a union density of $10.4 \%$ down from $10.9 \%$ in 2011. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in financial transactions involving the creation, liquidation, or change in ownership assets, and/or in facilitating financial transactions.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Financial and Insurance Services industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by 3.8\% from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $0.75 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow 1,800 by 2017 or an average of 360 new members a year for union density to remain steady.

Figure 63: Financial and Insurance Services Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Time Series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition for all workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $96.4 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $91.1 \%$ of non-union employees in the Financial and Insurance Services industry.

The following figure shows the breakdown of the industry by union membership status. The majority (77.6\%) of employees in this industry have never been members of their union, while $11.9 \%$ have previously been members of a union and $10.3 \%$ are currently union members ${ }^{29}$. Of all employees $4.1 \%$ have been union members for more than ten years, $1.4 \%$ joined a union in the past year and only $0.4 \%$ had ceased union membership in the previous year.

[^26]Figure 64: Financial and Insurance Services Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) 2012


The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce ${ }^{30}$. The Financial and Insurance Services industry is slightly female dominated with $53.8 \%$ female compared to $46.2 \%$ male. Over half ( $59.7 \%$ ) of employees are concentrated between 25 and 44 years of age. A third (33.7\%) were born overseas and the overwhelming majority (81.9\%) worked on a full time basis.

Union members were the most female dominated group at $70.3 \%$ female compared to $29.7 \%$ male, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be men at $48.6 \%$. The most common age group for union members was 45 to 54 years at $32.5 \%$, employees who had never been a union members were the youngest group with $47.1 \%$ aged under 35 years. Those employees who had previously been union members were more likely to have been born in Australia at $80.4 \%$, while employees who had never been union members were the most likely to have been born overseas at $35.7 \%$. Union members were the most likely to be working on a part time basis at $25.1 \%$, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $83.0 \%$.

Figure 65: Financial and Insurance Services Employees Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


[^27]
## Rental, Hiring and Real Estate Services

In 2012 there were 169,000 employees in the Rental, Hiring and Real Estate Services industry, which was an increase from 2011 employment levels of 167,800 . This industry accounts for $1.7 \%$ of the total workforce remaining steady from 2011 and the second smallest industry. Of these employees $5,000^{31}$ were union members, a decrease from 7,800 in 2011, this is a union density of $3.0 \%$ down from $4.6 \%$ in 2011, it should be noted due to the high standard error for union members in this industry this could be the cause for this volatility in union density. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in renting, hiring, or otherwise allowing the use of tangible or intangible assets (except copyrights), and units providing related services.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Rental, Hiring and Real Estate Services industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by $5.6 \%$ from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $1.1 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow 400 by 2017 or an average of 80 new members a year for union density to remain steady.

Figure 66: Rental, Hiring and Real Estate Services Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Times Series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition for all workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $100.0 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $80.1 \%$ of non-union employees in the Rental, Hiring and Real Estate Services industry ${ }^{32}$.

The following figure shows the breakdown of the industry by union membership status. The majority (81.6\%) of employees in this industry have never been members of their union, while $14.7 \%$ have previously been members of a union and $3.7 \%$ are currently union members ${ }^{33}$. Due to limited availability of data, a further breakdown of duration of union membership was not possible.

[^28]Figure 67: Rental, Hiring and Real Estate Services Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) - 2012


The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce ${ }^{34}$. The Rental, Hiring and Real Estate Services industry is male dominated with $55.2 \%$ male compared to $44.8 \%$ female. The largest age group was 45 to 54 years at $24.3 \%$. A quarter ( $26.1 \%$ ) were born overseas and the majority ( $76.3 \%$ ) worked on a full time basis.

Union members were the most male dominated group at $78.5 \%$ male compared to $21.5 \%$ female, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be women at $46.9 \%$. The most common age group for union members was 45 to 54 years at $32.9 \%$, employees who had never been a union members were the youngest group with $40.3 \%$ aged under 35 years. Those employees who were currently union members were more likely to have been born in Australia at $76.8 \%$, while employees who had previously been union members were the most likely to have been born overseas at $30.6 \%$. Union members were the most likely to be working on a part time basis at $33.5 \%$, employees who had previously been union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at 84.7\%.

Figure 68: Rental, Hiring and Real Estate Services Employees Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


[^29]
## Professional, Scientific and Technical Services

In 2012 there were 775,300 employees in the Professional, Scientific and Technical Services industry, which was an increase from 2011 employment levels of 741,800 . This industry accounts for $7.7 \%$ of the total workforce an increase from 2011 levels of $7.4 \%$. Of these employees 23,200 were union members, an increase from 20,400 in 2011 , this is a union density of $3.0 \%$ up from $2.8 \%$ in 2011. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in specialising and selling their expertise in areas including scientific research, architecture, engineering, computer systems, design, law, accountancy, advertising, markets research, management and other consultancy, veterinary science and professional photography.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Professional, Scientific and Technical Services industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by $6.9 \%$ from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $3.4 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow 1,700 by 2017 or an average of 340 new members a year for union density to remain steady.

Figure 69: Professional, Scientific and Technical Services Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Times Series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition to workers. In 2012 the ABS did not make available the paid leave entitlements breakdown for union members or for the Professional, Scientific and Technical Services industry as a whole. However the figure across all industries for union members is $90.8 \%$ and in 2011 union members in this industry were more likely than non-union employees to have access to paid leave entitlements. This suggests that in this industry it is highly likely that there exists a union premium for entitlements.

The following figure shows the breakdown of the industry by union membership status. The majority (84.9\%) of employees in this industry have never been members of their union, while $11.8 \%$ have previously been members of a union and $3.3 \%$ are currently union members ${ }^{35}$. Of all employees $1.5 \%$ have been union members for more than ten years, $0.5 \%$ joined a union in the past year and only $0.3 \%$ had ceased union membership in the previous year.

[^30]

The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce ${ }^{36}$. The Professional, Scientific and Technical Services industry is male dominated with $56.5 \%$ male compared to $43.5 \%$ female. The largest age group was 25 to 34 years at $32.5 \%$. A third ( $34.6 \%$ ) were born overseas and the majority ( $81.6 \%$ ) worked on a full time basis.

Union members were the most likely to be female at $49.3 \%$ female compared to $50.7 \%$ male, employees who had previously been union members were the most likely to be men at $59.6 \%$. The most common age group for union members was 45 to 54 years at $35.9 \%$, putting union members in an older age profile compared to the overall industry workforce, while employees who had never been a union members were the youngest group with $48.9 \%$ aged under 35 years. Those employees who were currently union members were more likely to have been born in Australia at $77.3 \%$, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to have been born overseas at $35.7 \%$. Union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $84.4 \%$, employees who had previously been union members were the most likely to be working on a part time basis at 27.4\%.

Figure 71: Professional, Scientific and Technical Services Employees Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


[^31]
## Administrative and Support Services

In 2012 there were 307,400 employees in the Administrative and Support Services industry, which was a decrease from 2011 employment levels of 309,600 . This industry accounts for $3.0 \%$ of the total workforce a slight decrease from 2011 levels of $3.1 \%$. Of these employees 20,000 were union members, a decrease from 21,200 in 2011, this is a union density of $6.5 \%$ down from $6.8 \%$ in 2011. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in performing routine support activities for the day-today operations of other businesses or organisations.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Administrative and Support Services industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by $6.6 \%$ from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $1.28 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow 1,300 by 2017 or an average of 260 new members a year for union density to remain steady.

Figure 72: Administrative and Support Services Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Time Series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition to workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $88.7 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $64.7 \%$ of non-union employees in the Administrative and Support Services industry.

The following figure shows the breakdown of the industry by union membership status. The majority (78.1\%) of employees in this industry have never been members of their union, while $16.5 \%$ have previously been members of a union and $5.5 \%$ are currently union members ${ }^{37}$. Of all employees $2.8 \%$ have been union members for more than ten years, and only $0.2 \%$ had ceased union membership in the previous year.

[^32]Figure 73: Administrative and Support Services Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) - 2012


The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce ${ }^{38}$. The Administrative and Support Services industry is female dominated with $51.8 \%$ female compared to $48.2 \%$ male. The largest age group was 25 to 34 years at $26.0 \%$. Over a third ( $37.9 \%$ ) of employees were born overseas and two thirds ( $66.5 \%$ ) worked on a full time basis.

Union members were the most likely to be female at $59.3 \%$ compared to $40.7 \%$ male, employees who had previously been union members were the most likely to be men at $54.1 \%$. The most common age group for union members was 45 to 54 years at $29.3 \%$, putting union members in an older age profile compared to the overall industry workforce, while employees who had never been a union members were the youngest group with $45.7 \%$ aged under 35 years.

Those employees who were currently union members were more likely to have been born in Australia at $81.6 \%$, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to have been born overseas at $42.7 \%$. Previous union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $69.4 \%$, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be working on a part time basis at $34.4 \%$.

Figure 74: Administrative and Support Services Employees Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


[^33]
## Public Administration and Safety

In 2012 there were 684,300 employees in the Public Administration and Safety industry, which was a decrease from 2011 employment levels of 721,900 . This industry accounts for $6.8 \%$ of the total workforce a decrease from 2011 levels of $7.2 \%$. Of these employees 233,300 were union members, a decrease from 256,100 in 2011, this is a union density of $34.1 \%$ down from $35.5 \%$ in 2011. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in central, state or local government legislative, executive and judicial activities; in providing physical, social, economic and general public safety and security services; and in enforcing regulations.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Public Administration and Safety industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by $6.3 \%$ from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $1.22 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow 14,600 by 2017 or an average of 2,920 people a year for union density to remain steady.

Figure 75: Public Administration and Safety Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Time series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition to workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $97.7 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $87.3 \%$ of non-union employees in the Public Administration and Safety industry.

The following figure shows the breakdown of the industry by union membership status. Half (49.9\%) of employees in this industry have never been members of their union, while $15.1 \%$ have previously been members of a union and $35.0 \%$ are currently union members ${ }^{39}$. Of all employees $18.6 \%$ have been union members for more than ten years, $2.9 \%$ joined a union in the past year and only $1.1 \%$ had ceased union membership in the previous year.

[^34]Figure 76: Public Administration and Safety Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) 2012


The below figure shows union membership by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce ${ }^{40}$. The Public Administration and Safety industry is male dominated with $55.4 \%$ male compared to $44.6 \%$ female. Half of employees are aged 35 to 54 years at $52.9 \%$. The majority ( $79.9 \%$ ) were born in Australia and the majority work on a full time basis at $84.4 \%$ of all employees in the industry.

Employees who were currently union members were the most male dominated group at $61.1 \%$ male compared to $38.9 \%$ female, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be female at 49.2\%. The most common age group for union members was 45 to 54 years at $30.5 \%$, in contrast to those who had never been union members $42.3 \%$ were aged under 35 years. Those employees who were currently union members were the most likely to have been born in Australia at 82.9\%, while those who had never been union members were the most likely to have been born overseas at $23.1 \%$. Union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $89.0 \%$, while those who had never been union members were the most likely to be working on a part time basis at 18.6\%.

Figure 77: Public Administration and Safety Employees Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


[^35]
## Education and Training

In 2012 there were 848,900 employees in the Education and Training industry, which was an increase from 2011 employment levels of 824,100 . This industry accounts for $8.4 \%$ of the total workforce an increase from 2011 levels of $8.2 \%$. Of these employees 321,200 were union members, an increase from 318,700 in 2011 , this is a union density of $37.8 \%$ down from $38.7 \%$ in 2011. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in the provision and support of education and training, education may be provided in a range of settings, such as educational institutions, the workplace, or the home.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Education and Training industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by $7.2 \%$ from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $1.4 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow 22,800 by 2017 or an average of 4,560 new members a year for union density to remain steady.

Figure 78: Education and Training Employees, Union members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Time Series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition to workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $95.3 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $75.2 \%$ of non-union employees in the Education and Training industry.

The following figure shows the breakdown of the industry by union membership status. Just under half (44.6\%) of employees in this industry have never been members of their union, while $16.3 \%$ have previously been members of a union and $39.1 \%$ are currently union members ${ }^{41}$. Of all employees $22.3 \%$ have been union members for more than ten years, $3.0 \%$ joined a union in the past year and only $0.8 \%$ had ceased union membership in the previous year.

[^36]

The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce. The Education and Training industry is female dominated with $70.0 \%$ female compared to $30.0 \%$ male. Half of employees were aged 35 to 54 years at $50.5 \%$. The majority ( $77.0 \%$ ) were born in Australia and the majority work on a full time basis at $62.2 \%$ of all employees in the industry.

Employees who were currently union members were the most female dominated group at $72.3 \%$ female compared to $27.7 \%$ male, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be male at $31.7 \%$. The most common age group for union members was 45 to 54 years at $33.1 \%$, in contrast, those who had never been union members $42.0 \%$ were aged under 35 years. Those employees who were currently union members were the most likely to have been born in Australia at 82.6\%, while those who had never been union members were the most likely to have been born overseas at $29.1 \%$. Union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $71.5 \%$, while those who had never been union members were the most likely to be working on a part time basis at 44.1\%.

Figure 80: Education and Training Employees Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


## Health Care and Social Assistance

In 2012 there were 1,297,500 employees in the Health Care and Social Assistance industry, which was an increase from 2011 employment levels of $1,240,100$. This industry accounts for $12.8 \%$ of the total workforce an increase from 2011 levels of $12.4 \%$, making this the largest industry in the Australian workforce. Of these employees 397,300 were union members, an increase from 341,100 in 2011, this is a union density of $30.6 \%$ up from $27.5 \%$ in 2011. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in providing human health care and social assistance.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Health Care and Social Assistance industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by $13.0 \%$ from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $2.48 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow 51,500 by 2017 or an average of 10,300 new members a year for union density to remain steady.

Figure 81: Health Care and Social Assistance Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Time Series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition to workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $94.5 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $76.5 \%$ of non-union employees in the Health Care and Social Assistance industry.

The following figure shows the breakdown of the industry by union membership status. Just under half (55.7\%) of employees in this industry have never been members of their union, while $12.4 \%$ have previously been members of a union and $31.9 \%$ are currently union members ${ }^{42}$. Of all employees $14.7 \%$ have been union members for more than ten years, $3.0 \%$ joined a union in the past year and only $0.7 \%$ had ceased union membership in the previous year.

[^37]Figure 82: Health Care and Social Assistance Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) 2012


The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce. The Health Care and Social Assistance industry is female dominated with $79.2 \%$ female compared to $20.8 \%$ male. Close to half of employees were aged 35 to 54 years at $48.1 \%$. Nearly a third (32.2\%) were born overseas and the more than 2 in 5 work on a part time basis at $43.3 \%$ of all employees in the industry.

Employees who were currently union members were the most female dominated group at $81.7 \%$ female compared to $18.3 \%$ male, employees who had previously been union members were the most likely to be male at $24.6 \%$. The most common age group for union members was 45 to 54 years at $27.6 \%$, in contrast, those who had never been union members $40.8 \%$ were aged under 35 years. Those employees who were previously union members were the most likely to have been born in Australia at 75.6\%, while those who had never been union members were the most likely to have been born overseas at $35.4 \%$. Union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $65.2 \%$, while those who had previously been union members were the most likely to be working on a part time basis at 49.9\%.

Figure 83: Health Care and Social Assistance Employees Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


## Arts and Recreation Services

In 2012 there were 182,200 employees in the Arts and Recreation Services industry, which was an increase from 2011 employment levels of 170,700 . This industry accounts for $1.8 \%$ of the total workforce an increase from 2011 levels of $1.7 \%$. Of these employees 28,300 were union members, an increase from 19,100 in 2011, this is a union density of $15.5 \%$ up from $11.2 \%$ in 2011. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in preservation and exhibition of objects and sites of historical, cultural or educational interest; the production of original artistic works and/or participation in live performance, events, or exhibits intended for public viewing; and the operation of facilities or provision of services that enable patrons to participate in sporting or recreational activities, or to pursue amusement interests.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Arts and Recreation Services industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by $10.0 \%$ from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $1.92 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow 2,800 by 2017 or an average of 560 new members a year for union density to remain steady.

Figure 84: Arts and Recreation Services Employees, union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Time Series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition to workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $88.5 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $48.3 \%$ of non-union employees in the Arts and Recreation Services industry.

The following figure shows the breakdown of the industry by union membership status. The majority ( $71.1 \%$ ) of employees in this industry have never been members of their union, while $12.3 \%$ have previously been members of a union and $16.6 \%$ are currently union members ${ }^{43}$. Of all employees $8.0 \%$ have been union members for more than ten years $3.7 \%$ joined a union in the past 2 years and $2.2 \%$ had ceased union membership in the previous two years.

[^38]Figure 85: Arts and Recreation Services Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) - 2012


The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce ${ }^{44}$. Arts and Recreation Services industry is male dominated with $51.3 \%$ male compared to $48.7 \%$ female. The largest age group was 15 to 25 years at $30.8 \%$. The majority ( $80.5 \%$ ) were born in Australia and 44.3\% worked on a part time basis.

Union members were the most male dominated group at $73.7 \%$ male compared to $26.3 \%$ female, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be women at $54.8 \%$. The most common age group for union members was 45 to 54 years at $31.7 \%$, employees who had never been a union members were the youngest group with $40.8 \%$ aged under 25 years. Union members were more likely to have been born overseas at $22.7 \%$, while employees who had previously been union members were the most likely to have been born in Australia at $81.2 \%$. Union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $80.0 \%$, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be working on a part time basis at $50.7 \%$.

Figure 86: Arts and Recreation Services Employees Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


[^39]
## Other Services

In 2012 there were 329,900 employees in the Other Services industry, which was a decrease from 2011 employment levels of 331,000 . This industry accounts for $3.3 \%$ of the total workforce remaining steady from 2011 levels. Of these employees 21,600 were union members, a decrease from 25,900 in 2011, this is a union density of $6.5 \%$ down from $7.8 \%$ in 2011. Employees in this industry are primarily engaged in a broad range of personal services; religious, civic, professional and other interest group services; selected repair and maintenance activities; and private households employing staff.

The below figure shows employee and union membership numbers, union density, along with projections for employee growth to 2017 in the Other Services industry, and the union membership growth that is required to keep pace. In this case employment in the industry is predicted to increase by $8.5 \%$ from 2012 to 2017, an average rate of $1.65 \%$ per annum. As a result union membership would need to grow 1,700 by 2017 or an average of 340 people a year for union density to remain steady.

Figure 87: Other Services Employees, Union Members ('000) and Union Density (\%) - Time Series and Projections 2007 to 2017


Paid leave entitlements are an important condition to workers. Union members were more likely to have paid leave entitlements with $96.5 \%$ of union members having paid leave entitlements, compared to $74.0 \%$ of non-union employees in the Other Services industry.

The following figure shows the breakdown of the industry by union membership status. The majority (78.4\%) of employees in this industry have never been members of their union, while $13.8 \%$ have previously been members of a union and $7.7 \%$ are currently union members ${ }^{45}$. Of all employees $4.0 \%$ have been union members for more than ten years, $0.7 \%$ joined a union in the past year and $0.5 \%$ had ceased union membership in the previous year.

[^40]Figure 88: Other Services Employees by Union Membership Status (\%) - 2012


The below figure shows union membership status by selected characteristics compared with the profile of the overall industry workforce ${ }^{46}$. Other Services industry is male dominated with $59.9 \%$ male compared to $40.1 \%$ female. The largest age group was 25 to 34 years at $22.5 \%$. The majority ( $75.2 \%$ ) were born in Australia and $75.1 \%$ worked on a full time basis.

Union members were the most male dominated group at $73.0 \%$ male compared to $27.0 \%$ female, employees who had never been union members were the most likely to be women at 41.5\%. The most common age group for union members was 45 to 54 years at $26.5 \%$, in contrast a similar proportion ( $26.1 \%$ ) employees who had never been a were aged under 25 years. Those employees who were currently union members were more likely to have been born in Australia at $80.9 \%$, while employees who had never been union members were the most likely to have been born overseas at $25.5 \%$. Union members were the most likely to be working on a full time basis at $86.0 \%$, employees who had previously been union members were the most likely to be working on a part time basis at $29.4 \%$.

Figure 89: Other Services Employees Union Membership Status by Selected Characteristics (\%) - 2012


[^41]
## Data Sources

This report is available electronically at the ACTU website www.actu.org.au. Hard copies of the report along with copies of the data used to create this report are available by contacting the ACTU on the details provided below.

The main data sources used to compile this report were:
ABS 6310.0 - Employee Earnings, Benefits and Trade Union Membership, Australia, August 2012

- Data Cube: Trade Union Membership Time Series
- Data Cube Employees in main job, Selected main job characteristics-By full-time or part-time status and duration of trade union membership in main job-By sex
- Data Cube: Employees in main job, Industry of main job—By trade union membership in main job and se
- Data Cube: Employees in main job, Mean weekly earnings in main job—Selected characteristics—By trade union membership in main job-By sex
- Data Cube: Employees in main job, Selected characteristics-By duration of current trade union membership and time since was last a trade union member
- Data Cube: Employees who were members of a trade union in their main job, Selected characteristics—By full-time or part-time status in main job
- Data Cube: Employees (excluding OMIEs) in main job, Industry of main job—By whether had paid leave entitlements in main job and sex—By trade union membership in main job
- Data Cube: Employees (excluding OMIEs) in main job, Occupation of main job—By sex—By whether had paid leave entitlements in main job-By trade union membership in main job

ABS 6310.0 - Employee Earnings, Benefits and Trade Union Membership, Australia, August 2012: Customised Report

- Employees in main job, Mean Weekly Earnings by Trade Union Members, by Selected Characteristics and by Sex by full-time or part-time status in main job
- Estimate of persons by Trade Union Membership Status, Industry of main job and selected characteristics
- Estimates of persons by employment status in main job by sex and selected characteristics by sector and Trade Union Membership Status
- Estimates of persons by Trade Union Membership Status by State and selected characteristics


## ACTU Calculations

- Trade Union Density for 1990 to 2012, all states and industries
- Employment and Union Density Projections 2013 to 2017 total workforce, states and industries

Industry definitions were from ABS 1292.0 - Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification (ANZSIC)

## Further Information

Any queries, comments, feedback or to have access to the data tables used in this report should be addressed to:

## Rhiannon Carter

Strategic Research Officer
Australian Council of Trade Unions
(03) 96647308
rcarter@actu.org.au


## Urgency \& Opportunity

level 6365 queen street
melbourne victoria 3000
t 0396647333
f 0396000050
w actu.org.au
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[^0]:    TIM LYONS

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The full report can be found at www.abs.gov.au
    ${ }^{2}$ The full DEEWR Report and employment projections data can be found at:
    http://Imip.gov.au/default.aspx?LMIP/EmploymentProjections
    ${ }^{3}$ This report is available at www.abs.gov.au
    4 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ For more information see 6102.0.55.001 - Labour Statistics: Concepts, Sources and Methods, April 2007 at: www.abs.gov.au
    ${ }^{5}$ The figure for 'employed persons' comes from 6291.0.55.003 - Labour Force, Australia, Detailed, Quarterly, Aug 2012

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ Membership growth is understood to be net growth in this scenario, which is the number of additional members required assuming that all current members are retained.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline figures.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ This can be attributed to slight difference in the datasets between trade union members in main job compared to all trade unions members, as well the difference between the headline and special order data from the ABS.

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ Data is not available for all states, the states listed are the only ones provided by the ABS.

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ This discrepancy in union density is attributable to the difference between the time series data which uses the classification 'union member in main job' and the special order ABS data that counts all union members.
    22 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ This discrepancy in union density is attributable to the difference between the time series data which uses the classification 'union member in main job' and the special order ABS data that counts all union members.
    24 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ This discrepancy in union density is attributable to the difference between the time series data which uses the classification 'union member in main job' and the special order ABS data that counts all union members.
    26 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^10]:    ${ }^{13}$ *This figure has a relative standard error of $25 \%$ to $50 \%$ and should be used with caution.
    30 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^11]:    ${ }^{14}$ Data was not made available by the ABS for all characteristics for all categories due to the high relative standard error.

[^12]:    ${ }^{15}$ This discrepancy in union density is attributable to the difference between the time series data which uses the classification 'union member in main job' and the special order ABS data that counts all union members.
    32 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^13]:    ${ }^{16}$ Data was not made available by the ABS for all characteristics for all categories due to the high relative standard error.

[^14]:    ${ }^{17}$ This discrepancy in union density is attributable to the difference between the time series data which uses the classification 'union member in main job' and the special order ABS data that counts all union members.
    34 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^15]:    ${ }^{18}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline industry density figures.
    36 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^16]:    ${ }^{19}$ Data was not made available by the ABS for all characteristics for all categories due to the high relative standard error.

[^17]:    ${ }^{20}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline industry density figures.
    38 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^18]:    ${ }^{21}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline industry density figures.
    40 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^19]:    ${ }^{22}$ Data was not made available by the ABS for all characteristics for all categories due to the high relative standard error.

[^20]:    ${ }^{23}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline industry density figures.
    42 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^21]:    ${ }^{24}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline industry density figures.
    44 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^22]:    ${ }^{25}$ Data was not made available by the ABS for all characteristics for all categories due to the high relative standard error.

[^23]:    ${ }^{26}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline industry density figures.
    46 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^24]:    ${ }^{27}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline industry density figures.
    48 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^25]:    ${ }^{28}$ Data was not made available by the ABS for all characteristics for all categories due to the high relative standard error.

[^26]:    ${ }^{29}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline industry density figures.
    50 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^27]:    ${ }^{30}$ Data was not made available by the ABS for all characteristics for all categories due to the high relative standard error.

[^28]:    ${ }^{31}$ *This figure has a relative standard error of $25 \%$ to $50 \%$ and should be used with caution.
    ${ }^{32}$ These figures have a relative standard error of $25 \%$ to $50 \%$ and should be used with caution.
    ${ }^{33}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline industry density figures.
    52 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^29]:    ${ }^{34}$ Data was not made available by the ABS for all characteristics for all categories due to the high relative standard error.

[^30]:    ${ }^{35}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline industry density figures.
    54 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^31]:    ${ }^{36}$ Data was not made available by the ABS for all characteristics for all categories due to the high relative standard error.

[^32]:    ${ }^{37}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline industry density figures.
    56 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^33]:    ${ }^{38}$ Data was not made available by the ABS for all characteristics for all categories due to the high relative standard error.

[^34]:    ${ }^{39}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline industry density figures.
    58 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^35]:    ${ }^{40}$ Data was not made available by the ABS for all characteristics for all categories due to the high relative standard error.

[^36]:    ${ }^{41}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline industry density figures.
    60 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^37]:    ${ }^{42}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline industry density figures.
    62 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^38]:    ${ }^{43}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline industry density figures.
    64 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^39]:    ${ }^{44}$ Data was not made available by the ABS for all characteristics for all categories due to the high relative standard error.

[^40]:    ${ }^{45}$ These figures use the data for all union members, not just union members in main job. This accounts for the discrepancy between this analysis and the headline industry density figures.
    66 || Urgency \& Opportunity - ACTU 2013

[^41]:    ${ }^{46}$ Data was not made available by the ABS for all characteristics for all categories due to the high relative standard error.

