
— Opinion

Tech leaders' safety alert our last chance to reset AI trajectory

The warnings are clear, and so is the lesson: Australia must not wait any longer to regulate this all-powerful new technology.

Melissa Donnelly

Union leader



Sep 17, 2026 – 5.00am



Listen to this article

6 min

One of the hardest challenges of artificial intelligence is to sort fact from fiction.

It is tempting to dismiss the weekend proclamations from AI company chief executives – Dario Amodei, Sam Altman and Elon Musk – that the powerful technology they're developing is advancing too quickly because their warnings are motivated by greed and self-interest. Obviously, they have a lot of money riding on people believing that.



We have rare agreement between rivals Dario Amodei, Elon Musk and Sam Altman that their models should be developed at a more balanced rate.

But the resignation of AI researchers, voicing genuine concerns about the fate of humanity in the next few years if we don't slow down the rate of progress, shouldn't be ignored.

We now have rare agreement between rivals Anthropic and OpenAI that their models should be developed at a more balanced rate, with time for outside evaluation, to secure their safety.

The founders and people building this technology openly express their fears that AI beyond the control of humans is absolutely possible in the near future.

You don't need to believe the most cataclysmic scenarios

[<https://www.afr.com/technology/trump-and-xi-would-win-the-nobel-peace-prize-for-an-ai-slowdown-20260914-p60xlq>] to recognise that the capabilities of the most advanced AI models pose serious risks right now.

The warnings are clear and so is the lesson: Australia must not wait any longer to regulate this all-powerful new technology. This may be our last chance to set the trajectory of AI's development on our own terms and protect our rights, values and fair go.

“We have an opportunity to work with peer democracies on the effective regulation of AI. But it requires someone to move

first.”

Unions are deeply concerned about working people’s rights in the age of AI. Much of our focus is on the workplace, but working people’s lives don’t end when their shift does. All Australians deserve basic protections from these new technologies as they threaten the rapid transformation of all facets of our lives.

Unions have repeatedly demonstrated that large tech companies have built their products off the theft of creative work in Australia. Strong regulatory protections are required to get basic justice for creative workers and protect our cultural sovereignty.

We need protections that ensure creative and media workers have ownership of their work, control over how it is used and can decide its price and value. We also need to make sure that Indigenous cultural and intellectual property is protected from theft and appropriation.

As it stands, we are allowing large tech companies – the primary motivation of which is selling products and making money – to determine how this technology is being developed and in whose interests.

We have already seen that there are clear risks in ungoverned and poorly motivated AI model development and major risks in the misuse of this technology. Some of these risks are in the here and now: the use of AI to create child sexual abuse material or sexually harass people, the spread of misinformation and disinformation in our democracy and the use of models to enable cyberattacks on critical infrastructure and important businesses.

While it is encouraging to see a company like Anthropic publish its efforts to disrupt malicious use, like the potential development of bioweapons, we can’t rely on privatising the regulation of these models.

OpenAI’s failure to govern a swarm of agents resulted in the much-publicised Hugging Face attack [<https://www.afr.com/link/follow-20180101-p60x2p>]. How hundreds of agents were able to operate undetected and maintain an unsanctioned communication channel for days raises serious questions about the company’s safety protocols and governance.

Australia cannot trust our fate to the decisions of these companies. We have a right and a responsibility to take sensible measures to protect ourselves.

This is why the union movement has been calling for a licensing regime for AI models, including proactive risk mitigation requirements for developers and deployers of AI in Australia.

There are serious risks already in evidence and Australians deserve to know that the developers of the technology have, at a minimum, taken steps to mitigate those risks. And if they haven't, they shouldn't be permitted to profit from Australians.

A licensing regime would mandate ongoing transparency over AI products for sale in this country, including regular auditing of their safety, the development of guardrails against high-risk use, effective governance and mandatory reporting of major incidents.

The natural place for this licensing regime to be administered is in a beefed-up Artificial Intelligence Safety Institute, created by the Albanese government earlier this year. The institute will need greater powers to face the scale of the existential threat the founders and builders of this tech are now sounding the alarm on.

For any licensing regime to be effective, non-compliance should carry stiff penalties and ultimately the ability to ban these models from access to the Australian market if they cause harm by operating unsafely.

Australia is a world leader in effective regulation of digital platforms. The success of the social media ban for under-16s has led other countries to consider the same approach to limit the harms of that technology. The rapid pace of AI development means we can't afford to wait two more years, let alone 20, before setting up a licensing regime.

Big business detractors of effective regulation point to the US and claim that we should let it rip, too, but that comparison has aged like milk. Even setting aside how various US state legislatures are regulating the technology, and despite Donald Trump dismissing these concerns as a hoax, the US president has created a de facto regulator by acting as an approver – or not – of new model releases. Of course, he only considers what's in America's interest.

Rather than being at the whim of whichever tech titan finds favour in the Oval Office, we have an opportunity to work with peer democracies on the effective regulation of AI. But it requires someone to move first. Australia should do it.

Workers are already victims of the misuse of AI. They have experienced harassment, deepfakes, theft of their creative and academic content and intrusive

surveillance. Regulating this technology to enforce decent safety standards will protect workers and make our communities safer.

If the tech pioneers are even partly right, there's no time to waste to prevent advanced AI systems from moving beyond our control.

More on the rise of artificial intelligence

[Anthropic seals huge data centre deal – but not to train Claude](#)

Opinion | John Gapper [How AI is creating new risks for the big four accounting firms](#)

[Crucial copyright meeting begins: rights holders demand answers on AI](#)

Opinion | Inshu Misra: [No nuclear energy means no sovereign Australian AI](#)

[OpenAI discloses six new incidents of 'concerning' AI behaviour](#)

SHOW MORE


RELATED



Opinion [AI](#) [/topic/artificial-intelligence-5ui]

China and USA will not slow the race. We must regulate AI ourselves

<https://www.afr.com/technology/china-and-usa-will-not-slow-the-race-we-must-regulate-ai-ourselves-20260914-p60xal>



Opinion [The AFR View](#) [/the-afr-view]

Can a changed China agree to an AI pause with America?

<https://www.afr.com/world/asia/can-a-changed-china-agree-to-an-ai-pause-with-america-20260914-p60x10>

Melissa Donnelly is ACTU Secretary